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ABSTRACT
We present the discovery of an absorption-line redshift of $z = 2.609$ for GRB 090426, establishing the first firm lower limit to a redshift for a gamma-ray burst with an observed duration of $< 2$ s. With a rest-frame burst duration of $T_{90} = 0.35$ s and a detailed examination of the peak energy of the event, we suggest that this is likely (at >90% confidence) a member of the short/hard phenomenological class of GRBs. From analysis of the optical-afterglow spectrum we find that the burst originated along a very low HI column density sightline, with $N_{HI} < 3.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Our GRB 090426 afterglow spectrum also appears to have weaker low-ionisation absorption (Si II, C II) than ~95% of previous afterglow spectra. Finally, we also report the discovery of a blue, very luminous, star-forming putative host galaxy ($\sim 2L_\star$) at a small angular offset from the location of the optical afterglow. We consider the implications of this unique GRB in the context of burst duration classification and our understanding of GRB progenitor scenarios.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The early emergence of a two-class bifurcation in the high-energy properties of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs; Kouveliotou et al. 1993) gave rise to the supposition that two distinct “progenitors” could be responsible for the lion’s share of such events (e.g., Zhang & Mészáros 2004). While observations directly link long-duration soft-spectra GRBs (LSBs) to the death of young massive stars (Stanek et al. 2003; Hjorth et al. 2003; see Woosley & Bloom 2006 for a review), less-strong circumstantial evidence (based on physical associations with more evolved galaxies) suggests that at least some fraction of short-duration hard-spectra GRBs (SHBs) are due to older progenitors (Bloom et al. 2006; Fox et al. 2006; Berger et al. 2005; Hjorth et al. 2005; Prochaska et al. 2006; Bloom & Prochaska 2006). Whether SHBs are due to the coalescence of two neutron stars, other compact degenerate binaries, some combination of these

In this paper, we will use the term “SHB” to denote this phenomenological class of gamma-ray bursts as first identified in the BATSE sample. This is distinct from a simple cut on duration or hardness, since the populations are known to overlap to varying degrees with different instruments. Furthermore, identification with a class does not necessarily imply identification with a particular progenitor, even though most LSBs have been associated with massive stars and a few SHBs have been associated with old populations.
models, or something else entirely is an open question (cf. Lee & Ramirez-Ruiz 2007).

Several lines of evidence now suggest that the true progenitor diversity does not map with one-to-one correspondence to the two-class phenomenological landscape. In particular, there appear to be many more than just two progenitors. For example, a small fraction of SHBs probably originate from massive flaring activity of extragalactic magnetars (highly magnetised neutron stars; Abbott et al. 2008; Hurley et al. 2008; Chapman et al. 2009). Either similar magnetic activity (or perhaps a flaring accretion-powered system) from objects in our own Galaxy may occasionally create LSBs as well (Kashiwal et al. 2008; Castro-Tirado et al. 2008). Classification of individual events even among the two well-established cosmological groups has proven extremely difficult, not only in the overlap region of the duration-hardness diagram where the population distributions merge (at around 1–2 seconds for Swift/BAT) but even for much longer-duration events. Indeed, some of the same SHBs which have been used to link this phenomenological class to older, evolved galaxies actually have observed total durations (as measured by $T_{90}$, the interval over which 90% of the burst counts are observed) of over 100 s due to a component of extended emission (EE) that follows the initial spike. At least two LSBs at low redshift, GRB 060505 and GRB 060614, were not accompanied by observable supernovae despite intense follow-up campaigns (Fynbo et al. 2006; Gehrels et al. 2006), and it is still debated whether these events group most naturally with short-duration events, long-duration events, or a new class entirely (e.g. Jakobsson & Fynbo 2007; Lu et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2009; Levesque & Kewley 2007; Thöne et al. 2008 and others). Most recently, the two highest redshift GRBs detected to date, at $z = 6.7$ and $z = 8.2$ (Greiner et al. 2009; Salvaterra et al. 2009; Tanvir et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2009), were observed to have rest-frame durations of < 2 s, yet few have argued that these events did not arise from massive stars.

To date, the strongest evidence that many SHBs and LSBs arise from a different progenitor population comes from analysis of their respective host galaxy associations. The host galaxies of long-duration GRBs have, universally, been observed to have blue colours, sub-solar ISM metallicities, and strong emission features associated with high specific star-formation rates (Savaglio et al. 2004; Stanek et al. 2006; Modjaz et al. 2008; Berger 2009). The burst position, when well-constrained, is nearly always at small offset (Bloom et al. 2002) and typically traces the brightest regions of the host galaxy (Fruchter et al. 2006), which itself is typically blue and morphologically disturbed (Wainwright at et al. 2007). Fruchter et al. (2006) also find that LGRB host galaxies have lower luminosities on average compared to the galaxy population probed by surveys at similar redshifts. In contrast, the host galaxies of short-duration GRBs to date have been observed to be much more heterogeneous, including both star-forming and non star-forming hosts. Afterglow offsets range from negligible to many times the half-light radius of the putative host (Prochaska et al. 2006; Bloom et al. 2007; Berger 2007; Troja et al. 2008).

It is against this backdrop that GRB 090426 enters the scene. With an observed duration of $T_{90} = 1.28 \pm 0.09$ s ($\S$), based on its observer-frame duration alone it groups more closely with the SHB class, an identification that is further bolstered when its high redshift of $z = 2.609$ ($\S$) is considered, implying a rest-frame duration of only 0.35 s that is unambiguously within the range of classical SHBs. By contrast, the most convincing host associations for SHBs are at $z < 1$ (although see Berger et al. 2007 and a discussion of the potentially high-redshift SHB GRB 060121; de Ugarte Postigo et al. 2006; Levan et al. 2006).

Irrespective of the phenomenological classification or the physical origin of this event, we report on an optical spectrum of the afterglow — the first ever reported for an event with an observed duration of < 2 s — which shows evidence of an environment quite unlike that of most (but not unprecedented among) GRBs of long duration with spectroscopic observations. We also present the results from our campaign of late-time imaging and spectroscopy, which identify the highly UV-luminous host galaxy of this event. All of the values and conclusions in this paper are consistent with our GCN Circular, but should be considered to supersede our previous work on this event.

2 THE DISCOVERY AND CLASSIFICATION OF GRB 090426

At 12:48:47 on 2009 April 26 the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Barthelmy et al. 2005) onboard the NASA Swift satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004) was triggered on GRB 090426. The X-Ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) began observing the field at 84.6 s after the trigger, and the ultraviolet/optical telescope (UVOT; Roming et al. 2005) followed at 89 s after the trigger. UVOT detected a candidate optical afterglow at $\alpha = 12^{h}36^{m}19^{s}.49, \delta = +32^{\circ}59^{\prime}05^{\prime\prime}.6$ (J2000), which was reported by Cummings et al. (2009) 3.18 min after the burst trigger. The optical counterpart at this coordinate was also confirmed 43.5 min after the burst by Xin et al. (2009) based on observations obtained 76 s after the burst with the Teramno-Normale Telescope. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) shows no object near the position of the afterglow; the closest object is a faint and extended source at $\alpha = 12^{h}36^{m}19^{s}.49, \delta = +32^{\circ}59^{\prime}05^{\prime\prime}.5$ (J2000), 18" away from the optical afterglow with a photometric redshift of $z \sim 0.3$ (D’Avanzo et al. 2009). As detailed in §4.1, we obtained a spectrum of the afterglow 1.1 hr after trigger, independently discovering the optical afterglow by inspection of the guider and acquisition frames and determining an absorption redshift of $z = 2.609$ (Levesque et al. 2009). Later, Thöne et al. (2009) confirmed this afterglow detection and redshift with a Very Large Telescope (VLT) spectrum observed 12.3 hr after the trigger.

---

2 See (Bloom et al. 2008) and (Zhang et al. 2007) for a discussion of GRB classification, both physical and phenomenological.

3 The GCN system http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/ is managed and operated by Scott Barthelmy.

4 UT dates are used throughout this paper.
2.1 The Short-Duration/Hard-Spectrum Bifurcation in Swift

The question of which phenomenological classification to ascribe to GRB 090426 is obviously an important one. To do so, we examine the hardness-duration distribution of Swift and BATSE (from which the original classification scheme was derived). Here, we measure hardness by fitting a Band et al. (1993) model to the BAT spectrum and extracting the best-fit peak energy \( E_{\text{peak}} \). Figure 4 (top panel) displays the durations and hardnesses for 398 Swift GRBs detected by Swift between December 2004 and April 2009. Plotted in the background are hardnesses and durations for 1728 historic GRBs detected by the BATSE experiment, taken from the current BATSE catalogue.

Our methodology for determining \( E_{\text{peak}} \) and \( T_90 \) from the BAT data is described in detail by Butler et al. (2007). The procedure involves prior assumptions on the Band et al. (1993) model parameters in order to overcome challenges associated with fitting this broad-band spectral model to data covering only the BAT 15–150 keV spectral band. Large error bars on \( E_{\text{peak}} \) necessarily result, as shown in the figure. To estimate \( E_{\text{peak}} \) for the largest possible number of BATSE GRBs, we determine a relationship between the BATSE catalogue hardness ratio (HR) and the measured \( E_{\text{peak}} \) from Kaneko et al. (2008) for 325 GRBs in common. We find \( E_{\text{peak}} \approx 80(\text{HR}_{3412})^{0.69} \) keV, with a scatter of 0.3 dex. Here, \( \text{HR}_{3412} \) is the hardness ratio of fluences in BATSE bands 3 + 4 over 1 + 2.

It has been noted previously (e.g., Curtis et al. 2006; Zhang & Choi 2008) that there is only weak evidence for a distinct short-duration class in the Swift sample considered alone. Band (2006) suggests that the discrepancy arises primarily as a result of the Swift increased sensitivity (relative to BATSE) to long GRBs, which tends to make detected short-duration GRBs a factor \( \sim 3 \) less common in Swift relative to BATSE. We explore this possibility in detail here by correcting the observed Swift number distributions for sensitivity. This is accomplished using the sensitivity curves as a function of \( E_{\text{peak}} \) and \( T_90 \) duration from Figures 3 and 4 of Band (2006). The curves we use assume an exponential burst light curve and a Band et al. (1993) model with \( \alpha = -1 \) and \( \beta = -2 \).

We first fit a double elliptical Gaussian model to the observed distributions in \( T_90 \) and \( E_{\text{peak}} \) from BATSE. Assuming a Gaussian shape entails making the fewest assumptions on the true underlying distributions, because a Gaussian is the maximum-entropy distribution in the case of known mean and variance (e.g., Gregory 2005). We employ a Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm based on the data augmentation algorithm in van Dyk et al. (2001) to propagate errors and marginalise over the thirteen Gaussian parameters defining the best-fit, two-class model shown in blue in Figure 1 (top panel). We begin by stochastically dividing the BATSE observations between classes, given an initial guess for the Gaussian parameters and also samples for the \( T_90 \) and \( E_{\text{peak}} \) values from their respective best-fit distributions (assumed Gaussian). With this division in place, we find the best-fit Gaussian parameters again and draw samples for each from the posterior distribution using the Gibbs sampling technique (e.g., van Dyk et al. 2001). The process is iterated, allowing us to determine \( 10^3 \) samples for each parameter after dropping 100 samples (“burn in”) to allow the chain to converge.

Next, we scale the best-fit double-class model by the relative sensitivity curve to obtain the contours in black, which are those expected for Swift. To do the scaling, we must assume a relation for the number of bursts gained (lost) as the sensitivity is decreased (increased). We assume that the number scales as the relative sensitivity to some power \( \eta \). This \( \eta \) is the slope of the cumulative number density versus flux relation (i.e., the log \( N \)–log \( S \) relation, as in Preece et al. 2000). We take \( \eta = -0.75 \). Our resulting black curves do appear to better match the Swift \( T_90 \) and \( E_{\text{peak}} \) distributions (Figure 1, top panel).

To quantitatively judge the validity of the corrected model, we determine the rate increase/decrease factor for each GRB as a function of \( E_{\text{peak}} \) and \( T_90 \) in the Swift sample and generate from these a corrected \( T_90 \) histogram. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test probability that the uncorrected distributions from Swift and BATSE are the same is \( 10^{-6} \); however, with application of the relative sensitivity function to adjust the rate for each event, the KS-test probability is only 2.7%. Hence, the distributions become only marginally inconsistent with no ad hoc changes. More precise tweaking, which would utilise the exact spectral/temporal properties and a detailed simulation of the Swift trigger algorithm, would likely improve the consistency, although this analysis would be very challenging to conduct and is beyond the scope of this work.

2.2 Classification by \( T_{90} \) and \( E_{\text{peak}} \) for GRB 090426

We download the raw, unfiltered Swift BAT data for GRB 090426 from the Swift Archive\(^5\). Our reduction of these data to science-quality light curves and spectra using standard Swift tools are detailed by Butler et al. (2007). We employ calibration files from the 2008-10-26 BAT release. The BAT signal in the 15–350 keV band consists of a sinusoid with photon index \( \gamma = 1.9 \pm 0.2 \) keV, with a peak at 3412 keV (observer frame). The spectrum in the 15–150 keV band is well modelled (\( \chi^2/\nu = 54.18/45 \)) as a single power law with photon index \( \beta = -2.0^{+0.5}_{-0.2} \) and an energy fluence (15–350 keV) of \( 2.5^{+0.4}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-7} \) erg cm\(^{-2} \). Using our Bayesian methodology Butler et al. (2007) to extrapolate to an approximately bolometric energy release in the 1–10\(^4 \) keV band (source frame), we find \( E_{\text{iso}} = 4.2^{+0.9}_{-0.4} \times 10^{51} \) erg, with a \( \nu F_{\nu} \) spectral peak energy of \( E_{\text{peak}} = 45^{+37}_{-33} \) keV (observer frame).

Above, we map a 2-class model (Gaussian \( G_1 \) for the short/hard class and Gaussian \( G_2 \) for the long/soft class) justified based on comparing BATSE data to the Swift sample. We will now apply this classification to Swift GRBs and to GRB 090426 in particular. Importantly, the precise factor dictating the relative Swift/BATSE number distribution at a given value of \( E_{\text{peak}} \) and \( T_{90} \) — which we derive approximately above as arising solely from variations in the satellite sensitivities — does not enter into this calculation. We only need to know the ratio of the probabilities, which means

\(^5\) http://www.batse.msfc.nasa.gov/batse/GRB/catalog/current

\(^6\) ftp://legacy.gsfc.nasa.gov/swift/data.
the factor drops out of the relative classification calculation. In principle, the relative rate factor could also depend on variations with redshift of the intrinsic source populations (ignored above) at fixed values $E_{\text{peak}}$ and $T_{90}$, but we make the simplifying assumption here that this can be ignored.

It is important to demonstrate that our derived $E_{\text{peak}}$ and $T_{90}$ values from Swift are similarly sufficient to those derived from BATSE. Because we have defined $E_{\text{peak}}$ in a similar fashion for both experiments, this then primarily becomes an issue of comparing $T_{90}$ values derived in different bandpasses, whereas we know GRB spectral evolution tends to make a given event appear longer when measured in a lower energy bandpass (e.g., Fenimore et al. 1995). Fortunately, the Swift (15–350 keV) and BATSE (50–300 keV) bandpasses are similar, and we can directly measure any biases in Swift $T_{90}$ values calculated in the 15–350 keV band as opposed to the 50–300 keV band. Considering 411 Swift GRBs, we find that the median decrease in $T_{90}$ when considering the 50–300 keV band instead of the 15–350 keV band is only 3.8%. The decrease is < 30% for 90% of the sample, which is typically (> 75% of the time) contained within our 1σ error bar on $T_{90}$. Therefore, we expect systematic variations in $T_{90}$ with energy band to not affect our classification.

Using the Markov Chain derived for the model division as a function of $T_{90}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}$, we can directly determine the probabilistic class association for Swift GRBs taking into account errors in $T_{90}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}$. Using a Markov Chain for this purpose effectively treats the error bars on all quantities and allows us to marginalise over the parameters describing the 2-class model. The marginalisation is important, because there is strong overlap in the observed BATSE distributions which translates to uncertainty in the Gaussian model parameters defining our BATSE classification. To classify the Swift GRBs, we sample 103 values for $T_{90}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}$ from the distributions in Butler et al. (2007). Each of these samples is used to evaluate one of the $G_{1}/(G_{1} + G_{2})$ draws above. The class probability, which is the Bayesian evidence in favour of the membership in the SHB class as compared to the LSB class, is calculated as the median of the $G_{1}/(G_{1} + G_{2})$ samples.

The ratio of Gaussians $G_{1}/(G_{1} + G_{2})$, evaluated at a particular value for $T_{90}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}$, defines the probability that a given burst will belong to the SHB class under our assumptions. In the bottom panel of Figure 1 the solid black curve shows the projection onto the abscissa of the solid distribution in the top panel. This curve is the classification marginalised over $E_{\text{peak}}$. Note that because we have assumed a sensitivity correction as a function of $T_{90}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}$ at each value of $T_{90}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}$, the correction drops out of the ratio $G_{1}/(G_{1} + G_{2})$, and we can apply the BATSE $G_{1}/(G_{1} + G_{2})$ model to Swift without needing to account for relative sensitivity. Dashed curves are also shown to display the $E_{\text{peak}}$ dependence of the curve at two $E_{\text{peak}}$ values ($E_{\text{peak}} = 1$ MeV and $E_{\text{peak}} = 20$ keV, respectively).

In black circles in Figure 1 (bottom panel), we display the probability for each Swift burst plotted in the top panel. We also plot as red circles the host-frame $T_{90z} = T_{90}/(1+z)$ values for 138 GRBs with measured spectroscopic redshifts. Considering the range of observed $E_{\text{peak}}$ values, we find that a GRB is short/hard at > 90% confidence if $T_{90} < 2.2$ s, or $T_{90z} < 0.8$ s. These limits can be used in future studies to select Swift GRBs belonging with high confidence to the short/hard class. Note that our spectroscopic redshift of $z = 2.609$ for GRB 090426 enables us to derive a rest-frame duration $T_{90z}$ of 0.35 ± 0.03 sec.

We find the probability that GRB 090426, highlighted and circled in yellow in Figure 1 belongs to the short/hard class is 92.8%. Even so, we must stress that such a high confidence indication could occur by chance given a sufficient number of detected SHBs. For ~ 400 Swift LSBs detected to date, the chance probability of detecting one or more long/soft GRBs with durations short enough and/or hardness high enough to appear short/hard with such high confidence in our scheme is > 90%. This marks a fundamental shortcoming in the classification by high-energy properties alone, where the parameter distributions suffer broad overlap, motivating further investigation into the afterglow and host properties. It is possible that additional high energy indicators (e.g., a “lag” consistent with zero, Ukwatta et al. 2007) may be useful for classification, but we do not investigate these here.

### 3 Energetics and Afterglow

As mentioned above, from our Bayesian model of the burst parameters, we calculate an isotropic energy release of $4.2 \times 10^{51}$ erg. Until very recently, this value of $E_{\text{iso}}$ would be considered exceptionally large for a SHB. Indeed, a low $E_{\text{iso}}$ is naturally expected from most SHB models (Panaitescu et al. 2001), which are typically assumed to confine their ejecta less efficiently than the collapsar model (Bergan 2007; Nakar 2007). However, the recent GRB 090510 (spatially associated with an emission-line galaxy) had a very large $E_{\text{iso}} = 3.8 \times 10^{52}$ erg (Rai 2009), suggesting that short-duration bursts are indeed capable of arising from very energetic (and/or tightly collimated) explosions as well, and are probably visible substantially beyond $z = 1$, even if they are not expected to be common.

Combining the (limited) set of observations of the afterglow of GRB 090426 from published circulars, we find the optical light curve is well described by a single power-law decay with index $\alpha_{O} \approx 0.8$ from $t \lesssim 100$s until $t \gtrsim 4 \times 10^{3}$s. This is similar to the inferred X-ray decay index, $\alpha_{X} \approx 0.9$, from the online compilation of N.R.B. Combined with the derived optical to X-ray spectral index for this interval, $\beta_{OX} \approx 0.9$, and assuming standard synchrotron afterglow theory (e.g., Sari et al. 1998), these results suggest an afterglow with a shallow electron index ($p \approx 1.8$) and a cooling frequency $\nu_{c}$ below the optical bandpass. If this is indeed the case, we can use the limit on the cooling frequency to constrain the parsec-scale circumburst density. Assuming a constant density medium, the cooling frequency falling below the optical requires (e.g., Granot & Sari 2002):

$$n \gtrsim 0.05 \frac{3/2}{E_{K,E,52}^{-1/2}} \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3},$$

where $n$ is the circumburst density (cm$^{-3}$), $\epsilon_{B}$ is the fraction of the shock energy partitioned to the magnetic field, and $E_{K,E,52}$ is the kinetic energy of the outgoing blastwave $^{7}$ See http://gen.gsfc.nasa.gov/gen3_archive.html $^{8}$ http://astro.berkeley.edu/~nat/swift; see (Butler et al. 2007) for details.
(10^{32} \text{ erg}). Given a maximal $\epsilon_B$ of 1/3 at equipartition, and with $E_{\text{KE}} \lesssim 10 E_\nu \approx 10^{53} \text{ erg}$, we derive a lower limit of $n \gtrsim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. A similar result can be derived for the case of a wind-like circumburst medium (e.g., Chevalier & Li 1999). A. $\gtrsim 0.01$ (where $\rho = 5 \times 10^{14} \text{ A. g cm}^{-3}$, chosen to correspond to a mass loss rate of $M = 10^{-5} \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and a wind speed of $v_w = 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). While we caution that this result is based on a relatively sparsely sampled optical light curve, it is clear that presence of a relatively bright and slowly fading optical and X-ray afterglow distinguish GRB 090426 from the extremely low-density circumburst environments inferred for the short GRB 080503 ($n \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-3}$; Perley et al. 2009) or the long GRB 050911 (Page et al. 2006).

4 OPTICAL AFTERGLOW SPECTROPHOTOMETRY

4.1 Observations and Reductions

We obtained an optical spectrum of the afterglow of GRB 090426 using the Keck Low-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS; Oke et al. 1995) at 13:55 on 2009 April 26, ~1.1 hr after the BAT trigger. The observations were conducted in photometric conditions. We obtained two 300 s exposures on the LRIS blue side using the long 1” slit mask, the 680 dichroic, and the 300/5000 grism. We observed internal flat-field lamps as well as spectra of Hg, Ne, Ar, Cd, and Zn comparison lamps to be used for wavelength calibration. We also obtained a 60 s spectrum of the spectrophotometric standard HZ 43. The observations of the GRB 090426 afterglow were conducted at a high airmass of 3.05; HZ 43 was observed at an airmass of 3.60.

The data were reduced using IRAF[1]. We used the irisbias IRAF task distributed by the W. M. Keck Observatory to subtract overscan from the LRIS images, and apply a wavelength correction based on our internal lamp observations. The spectrum was extracted using an optimal extraction algorithm, with deviant pixels identified and rejected based upon the assumption of a smoothly varying profile. We flux calibrated the data using observations of HZ 43 to derive a sensitivity curve which was then applied to the GRB 090426 afterglow observation. Finally, we corrected for a heliocentric velocity of $-16.88 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and corrected the spectrum to rest-frame wavelengths. Our spectrum is shown in Figure 2.

4.2 Analysis and Interpretation

In our analysis of the afterglow spectrum, we initially observed a set of absorption features at 4387 Å, 5030 Å, 5061 Å, and 5592 Å. We identify these features as Ly-α, Si IV λ1394, Si IV λ1403, and the blended C IV λ1548, 1551 doublet at a common redshift of $z = 2.609$. At this redshift we are also able to identify the N V λ1239, 1243 doublet, Si II λ1260, and C II λ1334 absorption features. We determine the rest-frame equivalent widths (EWs) for these lines by fitting each line with a Gaussian using splot in IRAF.

We find that the ionised absorption lines in our spectrum are saturated, which limits us to determining conservative lower limits for the column densities of these lines (Prochaska et al. 2008) based on the relation between EW and column density for saturated lines (Cowie & Songaila 1986). We generally find lower limits for all the saturated columns on the order of $10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. However, we are able to calculate an upper limit for $N_{\text{HI}}$ based on the absence of strong damping wings in the Ly-α absorption feature. From fitting the line with a Voigt profile, we find an upper limit of $N_{\text{HI}} < 3.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Our values for EW and the various column densities are given in Table 1.

The value of the neutral hydrogen column is very low in comparison to other GRBs: based on the cumulative distribution of $N_{\text{HI}}$ in 28 long-duration GRBs at $z \gtrsim 2$ (Chen et al. 2007), we find that the afterglow of GRB 090426 has a lower $N_{\text{HI}}$ than $\sim 90\%$ of GRB afterglow spectra. Our GRB 090426 afterglow spectrum also appears to have weaker low-ionisation absorption (Si II, C II) than $\sim 95\%$ of previous afterglow spectra. This sets GRB 090426 apart as atypical when compared to the host environments of other GRBs, which generally have much stronger absorption features (Prochaska et al. 2008). Nevertheless, even among “typical” long-duration GRBs, such very low columns are not completely without precedent, and a few long-duration GRB afterglow spectra are found to have similarly low $N_{\text{HI}}$ to GRB 090426. Typically, GRB afterglows with Ly-α lines have column densities of $N_{\text{HI}} \approx 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$; one notable exception is GRB 021004, which has $N_{\text{HI}} \approx 1 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. It is suggested that the low measured $N_{\text{HI}}$ in that afterglow spectrum is due to ionisation of the H I by the radiation field of the massive-star progenitor (Fynbo et al. 2005). Another unusual afterglow is associated with the long/soft GRB 060607; with $N_{\text{HI}} = 6.3 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, it has the lowest H I column density of any GRB afterglow. The GRB 060607 spectrum lacks any detection of the N V lines, though it does show C IV and Si IV absorption at the redshift of the GRB (Prochaska et al. 2008). However, no host galaxy has been detected for GRB 060607 thus far, down to an $H$-band limiting magnitude of $AB(H) = 26.5$ (Chen et al. 2009). By contrast, we do in fact detect the N V doublet in the afterglow spectrum of GRB 090426. N V is thought to originate in the immediate circumburst environment of the GRB, and this absorption feature is quite typical of most other observed GRB afterglows (Prochaska et al. 2008).

Similarly, examples of systems with extremely weak ionization lines, while quite rare, are not unprecedented among ordinary long bursts: GRB 070125 and GRB 071003 were both found to have extremely weak host Mg II absorption systems (Cenko et al. 2008; Perley et al. 2008), indicative of a low-density galactic environment, possibly in a tidal tail or halo.

5 THE HOST GALAXY OF GRB 090426

5.1 Imaging

On 2009 May 21 we imaged the field using GMOS-S on Gemini-South and the i-band filter for 20 exposures of 180 s.
each, for 1 hr of total integration time. Images were pro-
cessed using archival twilight flatfields and fringe corrected
within the *gemini* package in IRAF. The following night
(2009 May 22) we acquired additional imaging in the V band
using the FOCAS instrument on Subaru. A total of 9 images
of 300 s each were acquired for a total integration time of
45 min. Images were processed using standard techniques in
IRAF. Both optical images show a bright, extended object
with complicated morphology (a bright, elongated object
with fainter lobes of emission to the NE and S) near the
afterglow location (Figure 3).

Finally, on 2009 May 31 we imaged the field using NIRC
on the Keck I telescope. A total of 31 exposures of 1 min (10
coadds × 6 s) were acquired in the K band, plus 9 in the H
band (also 10 × 6 s), and 9 in the J band (3 × 20 s). Images
were processed and stacked using a modified *Python/pyraf*
script originally written by D. Kaplan. No object consistent
with the optical band is detected in any filter. Based on a
comparison to 2MASS standards observed in frames taken
later in the night, we place 3σ limiting magnitudes on the
host-galaxy flux of $J > 23.0$, $H > 22.1$, and $K > 22.0$ mag
(Vega).

To calculate the offset of the afterglow relative to the
putative host galaxy, we aligned both the LRIS acquisi-
tion image (taken the night of the burst) and the Subaru
V-band observation to reference stars in the Sloan Digital
Sky Survey, giving a position of $\alpha = 12^{h}36^{m}18^{s}.052$, $\delta = +32^{\circ}59^{\prime}09.14^{\prime\prime}$ (J2000). This position places the afterglow
within 0.1′′ (800 pc in projection) of the centre of the north-
eastern lobe of the system that we subsequently identify as
the host galaxy complex.

Aperture photometry of the brightest (central) region of
the host as well as the knot at the afterglow location
was performed with IRAF using a 1′′ radius. The resulting
photometry, corrected for the modest Galactic extinction
($E(B-V) = 0.017$; Schlegel et al. 1998), is presented in
Table 2. In addition to the spatial coincidence, the identical
colours strongly suggest that the two objects are physically
related. Interpolating to the flux at 1700 Å (see Reddy et al.
2005), the photometric magnitude of the northeast compo-
nent of the host corresponds to a rest-frame UV luminosity
of approximately 0.7 $L_\odot$, or ~2$L_\odot$ for the entire host com-
plex, indicating a luminous host galaxy.

5.2 Spectroscopy

We obtained an additional late-time spectrum at the af-
fterglow location with LRIS on 17 June 2009. Extrapolating
the early-time optical light curve, the afterglow flux should have
faded sufficiently such that any emission would be domi-
nated by host-galaxy light. Our observations consisted of
two 1500 s exposures. The blue side was configured with the
600/4000 grism, providing coverage of 3500−5500 Å with a
scale of 0.62″ pixel$^{-1}$, and a spectral resolution of ~ 4 Å.
The red side employed the 400/8500 grating with wavelength
coverage of 5500−10,000 Å, a scale of 1.18″ pixel$^{-1}$, and a
spectral resolution of ~ 7 Å; however, we do not discuss the
red-side spectrum here, since we are interested primarily
in a detection of Ly-α emission from the host galaxy. The
long, 1′′-wide slit was oriented with a position angle of 41.3°
to capture both the compact “knot” at the afterglow loca-
tion and the nearby extended galaxy, while an atmospheric
dispersion corrector was utilised to account for differential
refraction (Filippenko 1982). We are confident that the slit
was at the correct location, because we detected another
object at its expected position along the spatial axis of the
spectrogram.

The spectra were reduced in a manner identical to that
described in §3.1. We find no sign of any flux, either con-
tinuum or narrow emission lines, at the location of the af-
terglow or host complex. At $z = 2.609$, Ly-α would fall at
λ_{Ly-α} = 4389 Å. Using observations of the standard star Feige
34 (Massey et al. 1988; Oke 1996; Stone 1999) from earlier in
the night, we place a limit on any emission-line flux at this
location of $F < 7 \times 10^{-17}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (assuming the line
was narrow enough to be unresolved in our spectra). Us-
ing the star-formation rate conversions from Brocklehurst
(1971) and Kennicutt (1983), we therefore place a limit on the
unobscured star-formation rate (SFR) at the location of the
afterglow and host of SFR_{Ly-α} < 4 M_\odot yr$^{-1}$. This value
is significantly less than that derived from the k-corrected
rest-frame UV (1500Å) continuum emission (neglecting ex-
tinction corrections), where SFR_{UV} = 14.4 ± 2 M_\odot yr$^{-1}$;
Kennicutt (1998). However, we note that SFRs derived from
Ly-α emission can often underestimate the true SFR by
over an order of magnitude due to resonant scattering,
dust absorption (Mas-Hesse et al. 2003), and a strong de-
dependency on the age of the star-forming population (e.g.,
Valls-Gabaud 1993). Furthermore, the night of these spec-
troscopic observations was not photometric (variable, thin
cloud cover), and therefore our flux calibration may be in
error (though likely at less than the 50% level).

5.3 Models and Interpretation

We generated synthetic photometry in our measured filters
at $z = 2.609$ using the irregular, Sc/d, Sb/c, and elliptical-
galaxy templates in hyper-Z (Bolzonella et al. 2000; tem-
plates originally from Coleman et al. 1980). The templates
were screened by varying amounts of host-galaxy dust, both
with and without the 2175 Å bump (assuming an LMC
and SMC extinction law, respectively) to compare with the
observed colours. The elliptical and Sb/c models were im-
eresults from a foreground system.

Similarly, in our spectroscopic observations of the pu-
tative host complex at the position of the afterglow we see
do spectral features that would be consistent with contribu-
tions from a foreground system.
In the absence of an emission-line redshift of the complex, it is reasonable to ask what the possibility is that this host association is the result of a chance alignment between GRB 090426 and a foreground system at $z < 2.609$ (at $z_{\text{complex}}$, much larger than $z_{\text{abs}}$ the system would be too bright intrinsically). Examining only the northeastern lobe of the host complex and following the prescription in Bloom et al. (2002), we estimate a probability of chance alignment between afterglow and the central region of its host galaxy (using an effective radius of 0.25") to be 0.1%. More conservatively, if we instead consider the entire host complex (approximately 1.8" radius), the probability of chance alignment is still low at 4%. Based on the low likelihood of a chance alignment and a lack of spectroscopic evidence supporting the presence of a foreground system, we conclude that this is indeed very likely the host galaxy (complex) of GRB 090426.

6 CONCLUSIONS
The small astrometric offset from what appears to be a blue host galaxy initially seems to be difficult to square with the inference of a very low column density implied by the absorption spectrum. However, it is noteworthy that the upper limit probes only the neutral gas; the implied UV luminosity from the bright host system suggests a large ionising radiation field in and around the Galactic disks which may have ionised a significant fraction of the neutral gas along the line of sight to the GRB. Furthermore, both the detection of N V and the significant circumburst density implied by the bright afterglow indicate that the immediate environment of GRB 090426 is not dramatically different from those of long-duration GRBs in general.

On the other hand, a genuine halo environment seems unlikely. Some degenerate merger scenarios involve a significant ($> 1$ Gyr) delay between initial formation of the system and the merger, suggesting that the positional and temporal coincidence of the afterglow with what could be a starburst induced by tidal interaction with the nearby object would be relatively unlikely. In these scenarios, the progenitor system is also subject to a systemic velocity “kick” during binary evolution that results in significant linear motion of the system away from its birthsite (Fraser et al. 1999; Bloom et al. 1999; although see also Belczynski et al. 2002). For instance, a binary with a 100 km s$^{-1}$ kick perpendicular to our line of sight that takes 1 Gyr to merge will travel 100 kpc from its birthsite; in our adopted cosmology at $z = 2.609$ this amounts to an angular distance of 12.8", compared to the observed < 0.2" offset.

This does not immediately reject the association of this event with merger-product progenitors; if such progenitors can merge over a range of timescales (including relatively short ones), the association of SHBs with active starbursts would be no surprise. If GRB 090426 is interpreted as arising from a merger, this event may suggest that SHBs may very well be akin to Type Ia supernovae (which appear to be generated by both long and short production channels; Sullivan et al. 2006). Indeed, many SHBs to date have shown little to no appreciable offset from their (sometimes blue) host galaxies (Troja et al. 2008). This event also serves as a spectroscopic example of the high-redshift short-duration GRB population inferred from spatial associations in Berger (2007). While the most direct evidence for a degenerate merger remains the detection of a gravity wave signature (see for example Bloom et al. 2004), then GRB 090426, at a redshift with large $E_{\text{iso}}$, would suggest (cf. Berger 2007, 2009) unfortunately that a significant number of SHBs detected by BATSE and Swift occur well outside of the Advanced LIGO volume.

The simplest conclusion from the available observations of the afterglow and host galaxy is that GRB 090426 is more closely linked with the core collapse of a massive star. The implications of this association are no less profound: they indicate that the mechanism that generates gamma rays is capable of operating on timescales as short as 0.3 s, imposing strong demands of the central engine; in the most basic collapsar model for GRBs, the duration timescale is generally assumed to be at least an order of magnitude longer (see Woosley & Bloom 2006). While events like GRB 090426 are probably rare (due to relative volumetric effects), these inferences also cast significant doubt on the classification of a large population of what would otherwise have been considered classical short-duration bursts: if this burst had occurred at a similar redshift to prototypical SHBs 050509B or 050724 (at $z = 0.2 - 0.3$) it would have fallen unambiguously within the SHB duration distribution. This also illustrates the insufficiency of $T_{90}$ alone as a classification criterion, given the 92.8% likelihood that GRB 090426 is a member of the short/hard phenomenological class. At minimum, we feel that at low redshift, the search for accompanying supernova emission — an unambiguous sign of a genuine massive-star origin — remains vital to properly distinguishing among different progenitor scenarios.
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Figure 1. **Top:** The $T_{90}$ durations and $E_{\text{peak}}$ values for 398 Swift GRBs. In the background, we plot (small blue circles) 1728 $T_{90}$ and $E_{\text{peak}}$ values for historic GRBs detected by the BATSE experiment. Overplotted is the best-fit double-Gaussian model to the BATSE data (blue; 50% peak probability and 5% peak probability contours). The black curves show the relative distortion expected for these distributions appearing in Swift, given the relative satellite detection efficiencies (see Band 2006). Because of its increased relative capacity to trigger on long/soft events, there are relatively fewer (by a factor of $\sim 3$) short/hard events compared to long/soft events in the Swift sample as compared to the BATSE sample, making classification given the Swift data alone difficult if not impossible. GRB 090426 is highlighted and circled in yellow. **Bottom:** The ratio of Gaussians defines the probability that a given burst will belong to the short/hard class. Red circles give the host-frame $T_{90z} = T_{90}/(1+z)$ values for 138 GRBs with measured spectroscopic redshifts. The solid black curve shows the projection onto the abscissa of the solid distribution in the top panel. Dashed and dotted curves are also shown to display the $E_{\text{peak}}$ dependence of the curve at two $E_{\text{peak}}$ values ($E_{\text{peak}} = 1$ MeV and $E_{\text{peak}} = 20$ keV, respectively). GRB 090426 $T_{90}$ and $T_{90z}$ are circled in yellow.
Table 1. Species Detected in the Keck/LRIS GRB 090426 Afterglow Spectrum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species ($\lambda_0$)</th>
<th>EW$_0$(Å)</th>
<th>$N_X$ (cm$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ly $\alpha$ (1215.67 Å)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 3.2 × 10$^{19}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N V (1238.82 Å)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 2.8 × 10$^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N V (1242.80 Å)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 1.8 × 10$^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si II (1260.42 Å)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 3.8 × 10$^{15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C II (1334.53 Å)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 1.0 × 10$^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si IV (1393.75 Å)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 3.2 × 10$^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si IV (1402.77 Å)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 3.7 × 10$^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C IV (1548.20 Å/1550.78 Å)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>&gt; 9.1 × 10$^{14}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. — EW$_0$ and $\lambda_0$ are given in rest-frame quantities.

Table 2. Photometry of the GRB090426 Host-Galaxy Complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Date (2009 UT)</th>
<th>Telescope/Instrument</th>
<th>Extended Host (AB Magnitude)</th>
<th>Compact Knot (AB Magnitude)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>May 22.26</td>
<td>Subaru / FOCAS</td>
<td>24.21 ± 0.15</td>
<td>24.73 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i'$</td>
<td>May 21.05</td>
<td>Gemini South / GMOS</td>
<td>24.09 ± 0.15</td>
<td>24.61 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>May 31.30</td>
<td>Keck I / NIRC</td>
<td>&gt; 23.9</td>
<td>&gt; 23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>May 31.30</td>
<td>Keck I / NIRC</td>
<td>&gt; 23.5</td>
<td>&gt; 23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_s$</td>
<td>May 31.35</td>
<td>Keck I / NIRC</td>
<td>&gt; 23.8</td>
<td>&gt; 23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2. Keck spectrum of the GRB 090426 afterglow. The spectrum was observed with LRIS on Keck I at 13:55 on 2009 April 26, \( \sim 1.1 \) hr after the BAT trigger. The observations were conducted in photometric conditions. The data were reduced using IRAF, and have been corrected for a heliocentric velocity of \(-16.88 \) km \( \text{s}^{-1} \). We plot both the observed wavelength (lower abscissa) and the rest-frame wavelength at our redshift of 2.609 (upper abscissa). We note detections of the Ly-\( \alpha \), N V, Si II, C II, Si IV, and C IV features at this redshift.
Figure 3. False-colour optical image of the host-galaxy field from combined i-band data from GMOS-S on Gemini South and V-band data from FOCAS on Subaru. A magnified region of the host complex is inset at top right. The afterglow position identified by our LRIS acquisition imaging is shown in both images as a yellow circle of radius 0.2'' (2\sigma) and is consistent with the northeast component of the complex. The large galaxy 18'' to the East of the host complex is that noted by D'Avanzo et al. (2009).