Bacterial Biofilm Formation Versus Mammalian Cell Growth on Titanium-Based Mono- and Bi-Functional Coatings
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Abstract

Biomaterials-associated-infections (BAI) are serious complications in modern medicine. Although non-adhesive coatings, like polymer-brush coatings, have been shown to prevent bacterial adhesion, they do not support cell growth. Bi-functional coatings are supposed to prevent biofilm formation while supporting tissue integration. Here, bacterial and cellular responses to poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) brush-coatings on titanium oxide presenting the integrin-active peptide RGD (arginine-glycine-aspartic acid) (bioactive "PEG-RGD") were compared to mono-functional PEG brush-coatings (biopassive "PEG") and bare titanium oxide (TiO2) surfaces under flow. Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC 35983 was deposited on the surfaces under a shear rate of 11 s\(^{-1}\) for 2 h followed by seeding of U2OS osteoblasts. Subsequently, both S. epidermidis and U2OS cells were grown simultaneously on the surfaces for 48 h under low shear (0.14 s\(^{-1}\)). After 2 h, staphyloccocal adhesion was reduced to 3.6±1.8 × 10\(^3\) and 6.0±3.9 × 10\(^3\) cm\(^{-2}\) on PEG and PEG-RGD coatings respectively, compared to 1.3±0.4 × 10\(^5\) cm\(^{-2}\) for the TiO\(_2\) surface. When allowed to grow for 48 h, biofilms formed on all surfaces. However, biofilms detached from the PEG and PEG-RGD coatings when exposed to an elevated shear (5.6 s\(^{-1}\)). U2OS cells neither adhered nor spread on PEG brush-coatings, regardless of the presence of biofilm. In contrast, in the presence of biofilm, U2OS cells adhered and spread on PEG-RGD coatings with a significantly higher surface coverage than on bare TiO\(_2\). The detachment of biofilm and the high cell surface coverage revealed the potential significance of PEG-RGD coatings in the context of the "race for the surface" between bacteria and mammalian cells.
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Introduction

Biomaterial-associated-infections (BAI) remain a major cause of failure of biomaterial implants. Biomaterial implants may become contaminated with microorganisms during implant surgery (peri-operative contamination) or during hospitalization (Gristina, 1987), causing the onset of BAI. Microorganisms involved in BAI are protected from antibiotics due to their biofilm mode of growth. Consequently, infected implants often have to be removed and a new implant can only be inserted once complete eradication of the infected surrounding tissue has been performed. Successful tissue integration depends on the outcome of the race for the surface between microorganisms and tissue cells (Gristina, 1987). If this race is won by tissue cells, then the biomaterial surface is covered by a cellular layer and is less vulnerable to biofilm formation. On the other hand, if the race is won by bacteria, the implant surface will become colonized by bacteria, and tissue cell functions are hampered by bacterial virulence factors (Gristina et al., 1988). Since microorganisms are frequently introduced on an implant surface during surgery, microorganisms often start the race for the surface before tissue integration can even occur (Gristina et al., 1988).

Biofilm formation occurs on all currently used biomaterials. Microbial adhesion to biomaterials is determined by the physicochemical properties of the implant surface (Hermansson, 1999). Thus, modification of an implant surface may be able to prevent bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation. Several surface modification methods (Morra, 2000; Vasilev et al., 2009, Schuler et al., 2006), such as hydrophilic polymer brush-type surfaces (in particular poly(ethylene glycol) PEG), have been developed to prevent bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation (Maddikeri et al., 2008). However, such non-adhesive polymer coatings also resist adhesion, spreading and growth of mammalian cells (Schuler et al., 2006; VandeVondele et al., 2003), which are required for successful tissue integration.

In order to prepare bi-functional coatings which prevent microbial adhesion while supporting tissue cell growth, the biologically inert poly(L-lysine)-graft-poly(ethylene glycol) (PLL-g-PEG) copolymer was modified with the arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) peptide sequence (VandeVondele et al., 2003). The RGD peptide is known as one of the major recognition sites of integrin receptors through which mammalian cells connect to their extracellular matrix molecules (Lebaron and Athanasiou, 2000). In earlier studies, reduced bacterial adhesion on bi-functional PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD-
modified surfaces has been demonstrated separately from their ability to support mammalian cell growth (Maddikeri et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2008). However, a simultaneous study on bacterial biofilm formation and mammalian cell growth, i.e., an actual “race for the surface”, has not been performed due to lack of proper methodology. Recently, an in vitro experimental methodology to investigate bacterial biofilm formation and mammalian cell growth in a single experiment was developed (Subbiahdoss et al., 2009). The outcome of the competition between *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and U2OS cells appeared to be dependent on the number of bacteria present prior to cell seeding and the absence or presence of fluid flow. Mammalian cells lost the competition in the absence of flow due to the accumulation of bacterial toxins, but were able to grow under flow due to the continuous supply of fresh medium to and removal of endotoxins from the interface. A further study of the race for the surface on different biomaterials demonstrated that mammalian cell interactions with biomaterials were hampered by bacterial biofilm formation on all commonly used biomaterial surfaces (Subbiahdoss et al., 2010).

This study aimed at evaluating titanium oxide surfaces modified with biopassive PLL-g-PEG and bioactive PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD with respect to biofilm formation and simultaneous mammalian cell adhesion, spreading and growth. In particular the hypothesis that the bi-functional, bioactive surface may favour mammalian cell interaction over biofilm formation, is tested.

### Materials and Methods

**TiO₂-coated glass slides**

Microscope glass slides (76 × 26 mm) were purchased from Menzel Gmbh+Co KG, Braunschweig, Germany. The glass slides were sputter-coated with a 21 nm thick TiO₂ layer (reactive magnetron sputtering, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland).

**PLL-g-PEG and PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD**

PLL-g-PEG and PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD were purchased from Surface Solutions AG (Dübendorf, Switzerland). PLL-g-PEG is a copolymer with a polycationic PLL backbone onto which non-functionalized (methoxy-terminated) PEG chains are grafted. Grafting ratio, expressed as g, represents the ratio between number of lysine units and number of grafted PEG chains (g = Lys/PEG). PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD describes a copolymer with a PLL backbone onto which two different types of PEG chains are grafted, i.e. non-functionalized PEG and RGD-functionalized PEG (VandeVondele et al., 2003).

The molecular weights of PLL, non-functionalized PEG and RGD-functionalized PEG, are 20 kDa, 2 kDa, and 3.4 kDa, respectively. The grafting ratio (g) of both copolymers PLL-g-PEG and PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD is 3.5. For the latter, 8% of all PEG chain carry the terminal, covalently linked peptide GCRGYGRGDSPG.

**Surface preparation and polymer adsorption**

The copolymers were dissolved at a 0.25 mg/mL concentration in HEPES II buffer (10 mM HEPES and 150 mM NaCl, adjusted to pH 7.4 by addition of NaOH). The polymer solutions were filter-sterilized (0.22 μm filter), aliquoted and stored at -20°C until use. Prior to surface modification, TiO₂-coated glass slides were ultrasonicated for 10 min in a cleansing solution (300 mM hydrochloric acid and 1% detergent, Cobas Integra®, Roche Diagnostic, Indianapolis, IN, USA), rinsed with ultra pure water, followed by 10 min ultrasonication in 2-propanol to remove the adventitious macroscopic contamination and blow-drying under a stream of nitrogen. Subsequently, the TiO₂-coated glass slides were cleaned by means of oxygen plasma treatment for 2 min (Plasma cleaner/sterilizer PDC-32G, Harrick Scientific Products Inc., Pleasantville, NY, USA). Copolymer solutions were subsequently placed onto the pre-cleaned substrates completely covering their surfaces. Copolymer adsorption was allowed to proceed for 1 h to provide a complete monolayer on the surface, followed by extensive washing with HEPES II buffer, ultrapure water, and finally blow-drying under a stream of nitrogen. Data on conformation of the used copolymers adlayers and interaction with proteins have been described elsewhere (Pasche et al., 2003).

The copolymer-modified substrates were placed in a clean sample holder and stored at 4°C until use. The surfaces are denoted as “TiO₂” for bare titanium oxide surface, “PEG” for PLL-g-PEG-modified and “PEG-RGD” for PLL-g-PEG/PEG-RGD-modified surface. The surfaces were sterilized using 70% ethanol and washed with sterile ultrapure water before use.

**Bacterial growth conditions and harvesting**

*S. epidermidis* ATCC 35983, originally isolated from human blood of a patient with an infected intravascular catheter, and known to produce polysaccharide integrin adhesin (Dhanawade et al., 2010), was used throughout this study. First, the strain was streaked on a blood agar plate from a frozen stock and grown overnight at 37°C. The plate was then kept at 4°C. For each experiment, a colony was inoculated in 10 mL of tryptone soy broth (TSB; OXOID, Basingstoke, England) and cultured for 24 h. This culture was used to inoculate a second culture, which was grown for 17 h prior to harvesting. Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation at 5000g for 5 min at 10°C and washed twice with sterile ultrapure water. Subsequently, the harvested bacteria were ultrasonicated on ice (3 x 10 s) in sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (10 mM potassium phosphate, 0.15M NaCl, pH 7.0) in order to break bacterial aggregates. This suspension was further diluted in sterile PBS to a concentration of 3 x 10⁶ bacteria/mL.

**Mammalian cell culturing and harvesting**

U2OS osteosarcoma cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles Medium (DMEM)-low glucose supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and 0.2 mM of ascorbic acid-2-phosphate (AA2P) without antibiotics, denoted “DMEM+FBS”. Osteoblasts were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere, and passaged at 70–90% confluency using trypsin/ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA).
Bacteria and tissue cell competitive adhesion assays

Competitive adhesion assays were performed on the bottom plate of a parallel plate flow chamber (175 x 17 x 0.75 mm³) prepared from the biomaterial surfaces with or without polymer coatings under investigation, as described in detail elsewhere (Subbiahdoss et al., 2009). Importantly, the flow chamber was equipped with heating elements and kept at 37°C throughout the experiments. Bacterial and U2OS cell deposition were observed with a CCD camera (Basler AG, Ahrensburg, Germany) mounted on a phase-contrast Leica DM2000 microscope (Leica Microsystems Ltd, Wetzlar, Germany) with a x30 objective for bacteria and x10 objective for mammalian cells.

Prior to each experiment, all tubes and the flow chamber were filled with sterile PBS, to ensure all air bubbles were removed from the system. Prior to the addition of bacterial suspension, sterile PBS was allowed to flow through the system at a shear rate of 11 s⁻¹. Subsequently, the bacterial suspension in PBS was perfused through the chamber at the same shear rate for 2 h. Images were obtained continuously and the number of bacteria per unit area were analyzed in real-time by using proprietary software based on the Matlab Image processing Toolkit (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The flow with shear rate of 11 s⁻¹ was then re-initiated to deliver sterile PBS to remove unattached bacteria from the tubes and flow chamber, after which a U2OS cell suspension (6 × 10⁵ cells/mL) in modified culture medium, consisting of 98% DMEM+FBS and 2% TSB which is suitable for the simultaneous growth of S. epidermidis (Subbiahdoss et al., 2009), was allowed to enter the flow chamber. Once the entire volume of buffer inside the chamber was replaced by the cell suspension, the flow was stopped for 1.5 h in order to allow tissue cells to adhere and spread on the substratum. Subsequently, phase contrast images (nine images on different locations, 900 x 700 μm² each) were taken to determine the number of adhering cells per unit area and the area per spread cell using Scion image analysis software (Scion, Frederick, MD, USA). Finally, modified culture medium supplemented with 2% HEPES was perfused through the system at a shear rate of 0.14 s⁻¹ for 48 h, that in the presence of RGD-peptide sequences, the non-attachment of S. epidermidis was significantly reduced to 3.6±1.8 x 10⁵ cells/cm² and 6.0±3.9 x 10⁵ cells/cm² on both PEG and PEG-RGD coatings respectively, compared to 1.3±0.4 x 10⁶ cells/cm² on the bare TiO₂ surface (Fig. 1). This demonstrates that in the presence of RGD-peptide sequences, the non-

After 48 h of growth, the shear stress was increased to 5.6 s⁻¹ for 30 min, i.e. a value that is lower than the one used during initial bacterial adhesion (11 s⁻¹). Subsequently, U2OS cells and bacterial biofilm growth were monitored continuously. Control experiments with only U2OS cells (in the absence of S. epidermidis) were performed using the above procedure.

Finally, the adhering U2OS cells were stained with TRITC-Phalloidin for quantitative analysis. Briefly, the substrate surfaces with adhering bacteria and tissue cells were fixed with 30 mL of 3.7% formaldehyde in cytoskeleton stabilization buffer (CS; 0.1M PIPES, 1 mM EGTA, 4% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 8000, pH 6.9). After 5 min, the fixation solution was replaced with 30 mL of fresh CS for another 5 min. Subsequently, U2OS cells were incubated in 0.5% Triton X-100 for 3 min, rinsed with PBS, stained for 30 min with 5 mL PBS containing 49 μL DAPI and 2 μg/mL of TRITC-Phalloidin, washed four times in PBS and examined with confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM, Leica DMRXE with confocal TCS SP2 unit equipped with a water immersion lens). Images (nine images on different locations, 900 x 700 μm² each) were taken and the number of adhering cells per unit area and the average area per spread cell were determined.

**Statistical analysis**

Experiments on different surfaces were performed in triplicate. Data are represented as a mean with standard deviation. For statistical analysis ANOVA was performed followed by a Tukey’s HSD post-hoc test and a P-value < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

**Results**

**Bacterial adhesion**

Initial adhesion of S. epidermidis after 2 h of flow at a shear rate of 11 s⁻¹ was significantly reduced to 3.6±1.8 x 10⁵ cells/cm² and 6.0±3.9 x 10⁵ cells/cm² on both PEG and PEG-RGD coatings respectively, compared to 1.3±0.4 x 10⁶ cells/cm² on the bare TiO₂ surface (Fig. 1). This demonstrates that in the presence of RGD-peptide sequences, the non-

**Table 1:** Number of cells, area of spread cells and surface coverage of U2OS cells on TiO₂, PEG and PEG-RGD surfaces after initial seeding at 1.5 h and after 48 h of growth at a shear rate of 0.14 s⁻¹ and subsequent application of an elevated shear rate (5.6 s⁻¹) for 30 min, in the absence and presence of adhering S. epidermidis. ± indicates the standard deviation over three independently prepared and measured samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surfaces</th>
<th>Absence of S. epidermidis</th>
<th>Presence of S. epidermidis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U2OS cell number 10³ cm⁻²</td>
<td>Area/cell μm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>51±4</td>
<td>59±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>43±3</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG-RGD</td>
<td>43±4</td>
<td>56±9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* no U2OS cells were detected on the surface.
adhesive functionality of the PEG brush surface is still maintained.

**U2OS cell adhesion and spreading in the absence and presence of adhering S. epidermidis**

Immediately after seeding, U2OS cell adhesion and spreading was observed in the absence and presence of adhering *S. epidermidis* on TiO₂ surfaces and PEG-RGD coatings, but not on the PEG coatings. At 1.5 h, there was no significant difference in the number of adhering U2OS cells on the different surfaces (Table 1), but cell spreading was greater on PEG-RGD coatings, as compared to PEG coatings and TiO₂ surfaces (*p* < 0.01), irrespective of absence or presence of *S. epidermidis* bacteria (Table 1).

After 48 h, the adhering *S. epidermidis* had grown into a biofilm on all surfaces, but simultaneously U2OS cell adhesion and spreading was observed on TiO₂ surfaces and PEG-RGD coatings (Fig. 2). On PEG coatings, U2OS cells that were loosely adhered retained a rounded morphology up to 48 h (Fig. 2e). Upon application of an elevated shear rate (5.6 s⁻¹), biofilms detached from PEG and PEG-RGD coatings and partially from TiO₂ surfaces, whereas U2OS cell detachment only occurred from the PEG coating (Fig. 2 g, h, and i).

After 48 h of growth and subsequent application of a higher shear, adhering U2OS cells were immunocyto-stained for CLSM analysis (Fig. 3) to derive the number and spread area of adhering U2OS cells in the absence and presence of staphylococci. In Fig. 4 it can be seen that on a percentage basis, the number of adhering U2OS cells was significantly reduced on TiO₂ surfaces in the presence of *S. epidermidis* as compared to the control, i.e., the absence of adhering staphylococci. On PEG coatings, no adhering U2OS cells were detected on the surface (Fig. 3 b, e). Conversely PEG-RGD coatings in the presence of adhering staphylococci showed no significant difference in surface coverage of U2OS cells compared to cells cultured in the absence of *S. epidermidis*.

**Discussion**

This paper is the first to experimentally demonstrate the advantage of bi-functional versus mono-functional coatings in the prevention of infection on implant surfaces by conducting the race for the surface between bacteria and mammalian cells, as eventually determining the fate of a biomaterial implant. Bi-functional coatings clearly remained non-adhesive to *S. epidermidis*, but at the same time supported mammalian cell adhesion and spreading to a greater extent than mono-functional coatings and a control surface, in this case a bare TiO₂ surface. Titanium
is widely used in dental and orthopaedic implants, heart valves and vascular stents (Brunette et al., 2001). Titanium devices implanted in the body are covered with a layer of oxide, responsible for the favourable biocompatibility of titanium implants. Tissue cells reactions on TiO2 and pure titanium are very similar (Textor et al., 2001).

In our in vitro model, bacteria were allowed to adhere for 2 h prior to cell adhesion and spreading, which is considered to mimic the clinical situation where implants become contaminated prior to implantation. The number of bacteria adhering on PEG and PEG-RGD was 10^3 cm^-2 and 10^5 cm^-2 for TiO2, representing a reduction in initial bacterial load on polymer brush coatings of two log-units. Note that in this study we deliberately chose to fix the time for bacterial adhesion, and not to contaminate all surfaces with the same number of organisms. This choice allows comparing coatings and surfaces for their performance during a fixed surgical period. In the past, it has been documented that during a surgical procedure of 1 h, the total number of bacteria carrying particles falling on the wound is about 270 cm^-2 (Fitzgerald et al., 1979). The bacterial counts are generally higher during periods of activity and when more people are present in the operation theatre. More recent, through the use of modern, better-ventilated operation theatres (20 changes of air/h) and impermeable patient and personnel clothing, perioperative bacterial contamination may well be less (Verkkala et al., 1998). However, many surgical procedures in which implants are introduced in the body last much longer than 1 h. Therefore, the bacterial adhesion densities chosen in our experiments represent a moderate to worst case scenario.

Significant reduction of bacterial adhesion on PEG and PEG-RGD-coated surfaces compared to that on bare TiO2 surface was previously reported (Maddikeri et al., 2008).

In earlier studies (Harris et al., 2004; Wagner and Bryers, 2004), it was reported that the presence of a peptide covalently attached to PEG reduced bacterial adhesion similar to PEG coatings. In agreement with previous studies our results show that S. epidermidis showed no significant difference in adhesion on PEG coatings compared to PEG-RGD coatings indicating that the S. epidermidis ATCC 35983 bacteria do not recognize the RGD, unlike mammalian cells recognize RGD via integrin receptors.

Cell adhesion to a biomaterial surface is a prerequisite for successful tissue integration. Mammalian cells bind to
Fig. 3. CLSM images of U2OS cells after 48 h of growth at low shear (0.14 s⁻¹) and subsequent application of an elevated shear (5.6 s⁻¹) for 30 min on TiO₂ surfaces, PEG and PEG-RGD coatings in the absence (a-c) and presence (d-f) of adhering *S. epidermidis*. U2OS cells were stained with 5 mL PBS containing 49 μL DAPI and 2 μg/mL-¹ of TRITC-phalloidin. All images were taken at the same magnification. The bar denotes 75 μm.

Fig. 4. The percentage increase in the number of adhering U2OS cells after 48h of growth at low shear (0.14 s⁻¹) and subsequent application of an elevated shear (5.6 s⁻¹) for 30 min with respect to their initial number immediately after seeding at 1.5 h on TiO₂ surfaces and PEG and PEG-RGD coatings in the absence (□) and presence (■) of adhering *S. epidermidis* ATCC 35983. Error bars represent the standard deviations over three replicates, with separately cultured bacteria and tissue cells.

× denotes significance at *p<0.01*, # denotes significance at *p<0.05*. 
the biomaterial surface through adsorbed proteins and one of the main binding sites in these proteins is the RGD peptide sequence (Hersel et al., 2003). On PEG coatings, hardly any protein adsorbed resulting in an inhibition of cell adhesion and spreading (Tosatti et al., 2003; Schuler et al., 2006). Similarly, in our experiments U2OS cells remained rounded on mono-functional PEG coatings up to 48 h. Such anti-fouling behaviour is mainly due to steric repulsion between the hydrated uncharged PEG chains and proteins (Jeon et al., 1991). However cell adhesion to mono-functional PEG-coatings can be achieved by introducing specific bioligands, such as a cell-interactive peptide (RGD) linked covalently to the non-fouling PEG, producing a bi-functional PEG-RGD coating. Our results show an enhanced mammalian cell adhesion to the bi-functional PEG-RGD coatings, in which already within 1.5 h after cell seeding, the U2OS cells showed near maximum spreading, indicating recognition of the RGD-sequence by adhesion receptors in the cell membrane (Rezania and Healy, 1999). The enhanced cell attachment and spreading depends on the RGD-peptide surface density (Massia and Hubbell, 1991). The RGD-peptide surface density of around 5 pmol RGD peptide/cm² applied here was well above reported densities for cell adhesion of over 0.6 pmol RGD peptide/cm² (Rezania et al., 1999). The former was calculated (Barth et al., 2008) based on the copolymer composition (RGD grafting density, NMR) and the adsorbed copolymer mass from in situ monitoring of the copolymer adsorption using Optical Waveguide Lightmode Spectroscopy (OWLS) (data not shown).

In the concept of the race for the surface, a full coverage of the biomaterial in vivo by a viable tissue cell layer, intact cell membranes and functional host defence mechanisms resist bacterial colonization (Gristina, 1994). Previously, in our model for the ‘race for the surface’, all biomaterial surfaces allowed bacterial adhesion and biofilm growth with a negative impact on the coverage of the biomaterial by mammalian cells (Subbiahdoss et al., 2010). Similarly, the presence of adhering S. epidermidis decreased the surface coverage of U2OS cells on TiO₂ surface compared to their control, i.e. the absence of S. epidermidis. In contrast to the TiO₂ surface, the presence of RGD-peptides at the surface significantly improved U2OS cell adhesion and spreading in the presence of S. epidermidis, eventually resulting in similar surface coverage than the control, i.e. the absence of S. epidermidis. Considering that cell adhesion and spreading are the signs of cellular well-being, this is highly indicative for a protective effect of cell binding to RGD in the presence of bacteria (Stupack and Cheresh, 2002). Even though complete coverage of the biomaterial surface was not obtained after 48 h, significantly improved U2OS cell adhesion and spreading on PEG-RGD coatings could eventually lead to complete coverage of U2OS cells on biomaterial surfaces over longer periods of time. In the concept of the race for the surface, one may speculate that PEG-RGD coated implants will become more easily integrated by host tissue cells than other biomaterial surfaces and thus better protect the implant against infection.

PEG coatings have been shown to be resistant to protein adsorption, biofilm formation and cell attachment in vitro over periods of up to 4 weeks (VandeVondele et al., 2003; Fundeanu et al., 2008; Holmes et al., 2009; Fernandez et al., 2010). Depending on the exact nature of the coating,
degradation of this biocompatible polymeric monolayer by hydrolytic/enzymatic activity has been reported. Another concern is the mechanical robustness of the PEG coatings, and if handled with surgical instruments, coatings may be easily damaged. Optichem® (Fernandez et al., 2010) and nano-particle based polymer brush coatings (Holmes et al., 2009) are mono-functional non-adhesive coatings with greater robustness than can be obtained with monolayer coatings, like the PEG-RGD coating evaluated here. The results of the present study, however, aid in directing such further development of monolayer bi-functional coatings into more robust ones, suitable for clinical use. The early protection by bi-functional coatings is believed to be clinically relevant, as it could prevent an implant from becoming colonized during the important period of actual implantation, which is critical for the long-term success of an implant (Poelstra et al., 2002; Colon et al., 2006).

Conclusions

This study demonstrates that biopassive, mono-functional PEG coatings and bioactive, bi-functional PEG-RGD coatings have potential to reduce bacterial adhesion and prevent firm adhesion of biofilms compared to common biomaterial surfaces. Moreover, in contrast to mono-functional PEG coatings, bi-functional PEG-RGD coatings allow cell adhesion and spreading. At the same time, adhesion and spreading of mammalian cells is not detrimentally affected by the presence of adhering S. epidermidis. Bi-functional coatings thus have a strong potential to reduce the risk of infection in applications requiring tissue integration, such as in dental and orthopaedic implants.
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Discussion with Reviewers

Reviewer I: Upon implantation of any device, the first cells that approach the device may be osteoblasts or bacteria, as were investigated in the present study, but may also be fibroblasts, endothelial cells or macrophages, etc. Do the authors expect a different experimental result dependent upon the cell type under investigation?

Authors: Yes. It is most likely that the results could change in the case of cell types such as fibroblasts, endothelial cells or macrophages. The interaction between mammalian cells and bacteria could differ depending on the type of mammalian cells and also depending on the bacterial species.

Reviewer II: Have the authors ever added the cells and bacteria to the surfaces at the same time?

Authors: No, we did not try to add the cells and bacteria to the surface at the same time. Our aim has been to focus on a peri-operative contamination model, in order to mimic the contamination occurring during the process of surgery.

Reviewer II: Do the authors have any ideas why the osteoblasts adhered better to the PEG-RGD surface compared to the bare Ti surfaces in the absence of bacteria?

Authors: In general, mammalian cells bind to a biomaterial surface through adsorbed proteins and one of the main binding sites in these proteins is the RGD peptide sequence. Cells recognize the RGD binding site via integrin receptors. In this study, on the surface with PEG-RGD, the RGD binding site is directly available at the surface for the cells resulting in faster adhesion and spreading compared to bare TiO2 surface.

Reviewer II: Have the authors any ideas how adhered S. epidermidis may influence U2OS adhesion?

Authors: Initial adhesion of S. epidermidis to a biomaterial surface is the first step in biofilm formation, after which the production of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) starts. These, substances released by S. epidermidis influences U2OS adhesion. In our previous studies, we showed that the U2OS cell adhesion and spreading are affected depending on the number of adhering S. epidermidis and the physico-chemical properties of the biomaterial surface (Subbiahdoss et al., 2009; Subbiahdoss et al., 2010).

Reviewer II: Have the authors looked to see how well the osteoblasts survive with the bacteria for a longer time point than 48h? Do the bacteria keep forming a biofilm?

Authors: We have observed U2OS cells on a PMMA surface surviving till 72 h in the presence of S. epidermidis ATCC 35983 biofilm formation.